

DROP METASTASIS FROM PRIMARY INTRACRANIAL MES-ENCHYMAL CHONDOSARCOMA: CASE REPORT

Rishi N Sheth¹ MD, Sherry M Thompson² MD, Jacques J Morcos¹ MD FRCS

¹Department of Neurosurgery, ²Department of Pathology, University of Miami Miller School of Medicine, Miami, Florida, USA

Background:The purpose of this case report is to illustrate a case of mesenchymal chondrosarcoma arising in an unusual location in brain parenchyma, complicated by a rare complication of drop metastases to the spinal canal.

Mesenchymal chondrosarcoma is a rare skeletal tumor. Of the extraskeletal sites, the intracranial location is the most common, followed by the orbit and the soft tissues. First described by Lichtenstein and Bernstein in 1959, the histological feature is biphasic consisting of undifferentiated mesenchymal cells admixed with islands of cartilaginous tissue. Most intracranial tumors are meningeal-based, but several cases of tumors arising from cerebral parenchyma have been reported in the literature. This tumor has a tendency to be hypervascular, recur locally, and metastasize via cerebrospinal fluid (CSF). For these reasons, patients tend to do poorly.

Case Description: We present a 42 year-old man with a symptomatic tumor arising from parenchyma that was surgically removed. He underwent postoperative radiation. Ten and a half months later the tumor recurred and a partial resection followed by radiosurgery and chemotherapy was instituted. Eventually, the patient had drop metastases to the spinal canal and died 1 year after the initial diagnosis. Pertinent literature on the epidemiology, diagnosis and treatment is also discussed.

Conclusion: We report a case of primary intraparenchymal mesenchymal chondrosarcoma. It illustrates the distinctive radiological and histological features, as well as the unique clinical progression of the tumor leading to multiple spinal cord seeding. We also review the cases reported in the literature comparing the demographics, treatment options and prognoses.

Key words: Mesenchymal chondrosarcoma • Spinal metastasis

A 42 year-old male presented with sudden onset of headache, aphasia, right-sided hemiplegia, and facial droop. His initial CT scan and MRI are shown in Figure 1a, b.

He underwent a left pterional transylvian approach under General anesthesia. The insular cortex was entered and a grayish-purplish tumor was encountered, with areas of hemorrhage intermixed. Pathological examination was initially interpreted as "a poorly differentiated metastatic carcinoma with spindle cell features." The morphology showed small hyperchromatic nuclei with numerous mitotic figures set into a "myxoid-type" background, which, upon closer examination, was cartilaginous (Figure



Figure 1. Initial CT scan (a) and MRI: T1 sagittal post Gad (b); T1 coronal post Gad (c); Gradient Echo axial (d); T2 coronal (e). They demonstrate a hemorrhagic enhancing lesion of the left insular area.

2). This biphasic morphology is commonly seen in mesenchymal chondrosarcomas. However, the keratin immunohistochemical stain was focally positive with a dotlike pattern, characteristic of small cell carcinomas, and the synaptophysin, an immunostain that is positive in cells of neuroendocrine origin, was also focally positive (Figure 3). Immunostains for GFAP, S-100, and desmin were negative.

The patient continued to improve neurologically. He received 6000cGy of fractionated radiation therapy to the brain and an extensive search for a primary tumor revealed no other lesion site in the body. The work-up included CT scan of the chest,



Figure 2: Numerous hyperchromatic nuclei in a cartilaginous and myxoid-type background



Figure 3: Keratin immunohistochemical stain demonstrating focal positivity with a peri-nuclear dotlike pattern

abdomen and pelvis as well as nuclear bone scan with negative results. An MRI of the spinal axis and a lumbar puncture were not felt to be necessary during this initial work-up and were thus not performed then. Ten and a half months after surgery, he presented with generalized tonic-clonic seizures. Imaging studies of the brain at that time showed a lesion in the left sylvian fissure clearly distinct from the previous site of surgery but encircling the middle cerebral artery (Figure 4).



Figure 4: Contrast CT scan showed recurrence of tumor in the sylvian fissure, engulfing the left middle cerebral artery

© 2001-2009 Annals of Neurosurgery

Sheth RN et al. Primary Mesenchymal Chondrosarcoma

The patient was re-operated through the previous craniotomy. The tumor appeared as gray, amorphous and reminiscent of mucinous or cartilaginous tissue and was relatively avascular. It was clearly growing in the banks of the sylvian fissure, along the path of the prior transylvian dissection.

On pathological examination, the morphology was similar to that of the previous biopsy; however, in addition, the tumor showed compact cellular aggregates of spindle cells separated by numerous thin-walled vascular spaces and less dense cellular bands of collagenous and cartilaginous tissue. Additionally, the keratin immunostain was negative, raising the possibility that the previous keratin stain was a false positive. The synaptophysin was not repeated and the S-100 was then positive (Figure 5, 6). Immunostaining for desmin, HMB45, keratin, EMA, and myogenin was negative.



Figure 5: High power view of cartilaginous focus on the second biopsy



Figure 6: High power view showing S-100 positivity by immunohistochemistry

Postoperatively, the patient's seizures were under control, and he was neurologically stable. In view of the aggressive recurrence, chemotherapy with methotrexate as well as Gamma knife radiosurgery treatment were instituted. Six weeks after his second operation he suddenly developed an inability to speak with increasing right side weakness. Studies revealed an increase in the size of the lesion. Dexamethasone dosing was increased with improvement to baseline.

Seven weeks after the second operation, he was admitted again with worsening neck and low back pain. Cytological analysis of CSF revealed malignant cells, and MRI of the spine was consistent with carcinomatous meningitis with extensive deposits around the cervical cord, thoracic cord, conus and among the nerve roots of the cauda equina (Figure 7).

Intrathecal chemotherapy with methotrexate and spinal irradiation were instituted, but his condition continued to deteriorate and he died 4 months after his second surgery.

Discussion

Cartilaginous tumors comprise 0.16% of all intracranial tumors [16]. They include chondroma and chondrosarcoma. Chondrosarcomas are further classified into classical or skeletal, mesenchymal and myxoid types based on histology. Classical chondrosarcoma are characterized by large cells with hyaline cartage matrix and are graded according to their malignant potential. The rarest myxoid variant lacks hyaline cartilage but has a mucinous stroma among small cells. Mesenchymal chondrosarcoma has a biphasic pattern with islands of mature hyaline cartilage among undifferentiated mesenchymal cells.

The term "mesenchymal chondrosarcoma" was originally coined in 1959 by Lichtenstein and Bernstein. This tumor is much more common in the skeletal tissue (66%) than the soft tissue [31]. Among the extraskeletal sites, the intracranial location is the most common. The soft tissues of the lower extremities are another common site. Other sites reported in the literature are the orbit [1, 23], retroperitoneum [13], mediastinum [6], and paraspinal region [2, 4]. It was in 1962 that the first extraskeletal brain location was reported [11]. There have been several reported cases since (Table 1).

This tumor predominantly arises from the meninges although in a handful of cases the origin is parenchymal. There is a slightly higher preponderance in females than males (61%). There is a wide range of age at presentation (6 months to 61 years). The average is 22.5 years. Patients tend to be younger compared to those with soft tissue mesenchymal chondrosarcoma (44 years) [28]. Intracranially, these tumors occupy a supratentorial location and the frontoparietal convexity region seems to be a common site. In 4 patients the tumor was infratentorial. Although these



Figure 7: MRI of the spine obtained at the time of recurrence: Axial T1 post Gad (a) shows intramedullary enhancement in the right hemicord at the midthoracic level. Sagittal T1 post gad (b) shows multiple enhancing nodules in the mid-thoracic cord, both intra- and extra-medullary. Axial T2 (c) shows high signal in the right hemicord.

tumors tend to arise intracranially, several cases of intraspinal location have been described [19, 33, 39].

None of the radiological features are specific for mesenchymal chondrosarcoma. In our case the CT scan revealed a hemorrhagic lesion. MRI scanning usually reveals an enhancing heterogeneous lesion that can be intraparenchymal or dural-based. The latter feature explains the potential for confusion with meningiomas or hemangiopericytomas.

It has been theorized that the cell of origin is the pluripotent mesenchymal cell capable of differentiation into cartilage tissue [15, 27]. Mesenchymal cells give rise to meninges, connective tissue and soft tissues. Cases of mesenchymal chondrosarcoma with osteoid and rhabdomyosarcoma formation have been reported recently [26, 30]. This further supports a pluripotent cellular origin of the tumor that has the potential to differentiate into a variety of mesenchymal tissues.

Mesenchymal chondrosarcomas most commonly demonstrate an admixture of undifferentiated mesenchymal cells in cords and clusters and islands of poorly differentiated hyaline cartilage [39]. The nuclei of the undifferentiated cells are round to spindle-shaped with inconspicuous nucleoli and sparse eosinophilic cytoplasm. These cells are arranged in sheets containing scattered sinusoidal vascular channels lined by cytologically normal endothelium and can often be confused with hemangiopericytomas [39].

The second distinctive features of mesenchymal chondrosarcomas are the islands of hyaline cartilage. The transition to cartilage formation is usually abrupt, however, in our case, the cartilage was intermixed with the cellular component and was less prominent. Diagnostic difficulty may occur when the cartilaginous differentiation in mesenchymal chondrosarcomas is irregular or focally absent [41]. In such cases, the tumor may appear to be entirely composed of undifferentiated cells and, when accompanied by a sinusoidal vascular pattern, may be indistinguishable from a hemangiopericytoma [22]. While the histologic distinction between mesenchymal chondrosarcomas and meningiomas is usually straightforward, meningiomas may demonstrate focal cartilaginous metaplasia, adding further difficulty in making a correct diagnosis.

There is no definitive immunohistochemical staining pattern for mesenchymal chondrosarcomas, making the diagnosis difficult in cases where the morphology alone is not characteristic. Our case showed an unusual staining pattern, atypical for mesenchymal chondrosarcomas, which are usually keratin and synaptophysin negative. This may explain the discrepancy between the diagnoses of the first and second biopsy specimens.

There is very strong agreement in the literature that the first line of treatment should be an attempt at a radical resection of the tumor when feasible. Some authors have advocated preoperative embolization given the vascularity of the tumor [3, 19, 21]. The reduction in the vascularity of the tumor preoperatively may aid in the resection as well as keep the blood loss to a minimum. Another factor leading to limited resection is the attachment of the tumor to the dura, especially at the venous sinuses.

The type of adjuvant treatment has not been uniform across all cases. The optimal adjuvant therapy is unclear because of lack of systematic study due to the rarity of the disease. A closer look at the cases in Table 1 shows that almost all patients (6 out of 7) with parenchymal tumor had recurrence in spite of "gross total resection." It has to be borne in mind that "gross total resection" almost never equates to microscopic eradication of all tumor cells.

Mesenchymal chondrosarcoma has a strong

tendency for local recurrence. We found a 65% rate of recurrence in the reported cases. This was higher with documented subtotal resection. In our case, the

Table 1. Reported cases of intracra	nial mesenchyma	l chondrosarcoma	in the English
literature			

InstantInstantInstantInstantInstantInstant1962Dahlin ¹¹ 44, FParietal, duraGTR9 Rec (2yr-9yrs)D, 9yrs)1963Flyger ¹⁴ 11, MFrontal, ParenchymaGTR9 Rec (2yr-9yrs)D, 9yrs)1966Raskin ³⁴ 48, FFrontal, ParenchymaRD, 3 days1970Wu ⁴³ 18, FFrontoparietal, ParenchymaSTR4 RecD, 16mos1972Waga ⁴² 51, FParietal, duraSTR, XRTRec at 2 mos, Mets at 10mosD, 11mos1973Guccion ¹⁷ 19, MParietal, duraR, XRTRec at 1 yrA, 1yrs1978Cianfriglia ⁹ 20, FTemporal, duraGTRNo RecA, 27mos1978Scheithauer ³⁹ 7, MTemporal, duraRRec at 3 yrD, 7 yrs1978Zucker ⁴⁴ 19, MOccipital, duraGTRNo follow-upD, 5days1979Rollo ³⁶ 11, MOccipital, duraGTRNo follow-upD, 3days1979Rollo ³⁶ 22, MFrontal, duraRRec at 6mos, Mets at 8yrsA, 8yrs1981Harwood ²⁰ 22, MCerebellar, duraRNoneA, 2.5 yrs1982Kubota ²⁴ 21, FFrontal, duraGTRNoneA, 2.5 yrs1984Rodda ³⁵ 12, FFrontal, duraRMets at 4 yrsD, 7 yrs1984Rodda ³⁵ 12, FFrontal, duraR, XRTDid well postop	Year	Authors	Age/Sex	Location/origin	Initial	Postop course	Survival
1962Dahlin ¹¹ 44, FParietal, duraGTR9 Rec (2yr- 9yrs)D, 9yrs1963Flyger ¹⁴ 11, MFrontal, ParenchymaGTR9 Rec (2yr- 9yrs)D, 9yrs1966Raskin ³⁴ 48, FFrontal, ParenchymaRD, 3 days1970Wu ⁴⁵ 18, FFrontal, ParenchymaRD, 16mos1972Wag ⁴² 51, FParietal, duraSTR, XRTRec at 2 mos, Mets at 10mosD, 11mos1973Guccion ¹⁷ 19, MParietal, duraR, XRTRec at 1 yrA, 1yrs1978Cianfriglia ⁹ 20, FTemporal, duraGTRNo RecA, 27mos1978Scheithauer ³⁹ 7, MTemporal, duraRRec at 3 yrD, 7 yrs1978Zucker ⁴⁴ 19, MOccipital, duraGTRNo RecD, 2 yrs1978Zucker ⁴⁴ 19, MOccipital, duraGTRNo follow-up1979Rollo ³⁶ 11, MOccipital, duraSTRRec at 6mos, Mets at 8yrsA, 8yrs1980Heros ²¹ 26, FFrontal, duraRNoneA, 2.5 yrs23, FParietal, duraR, XRTNoneAA1981Harwood ²⁰ 22, MCerebellar, duraR, XRTNoneA1984Rodda ³⁵ 12, FFrontal, duraGTRRec at 4mosD, 4mos1987Nokes ³² 61, FParietal, duraR, XRTDid well postopNo1988Schut ⁴⁰ <					Treatment		after 1 st
1963Flyger1411, MFrontal, ParenchymaGTR9yrs)1966Raskin ³⁴ 48, FFrontal, ParenchymaRD, 3 days1970Wu ⁴³ 18, FFrontoparictal, duraSTR4 RecD, 16mos1971Wug ⁴³ 18, FFrontoparictal, duraSTR, XRTRec at 2 mos, Mets at 10mosD, 11mos1972Waga ⁴² 51, FParietal, duraSTR, XRTRec at 2 mos, Mets at 10mosD, 11mos1973Guccion ¹⁷ 19, MParietal, duraR, XRTRec at 1 yrA, 1yrs1978Cianfriglia ⁹ 20, FTemporal, duraGTRNo RecA, 27mos1978Scheithauer ³⁹ 7, MTemporal, duraRRec at 3 yrD, 7 yrs1978Zucker ⁴⁴ 19, MOccipital, duraGTRNo RecD, 5days1979Rollo ³⁶ 11, MOccipital, duraGTRNo follow-upD, 5days1979Rollo ³⁶ 11, MOccipital, duraGTRNo follow-upD, 3days1980Heros ²¹ 26, FFrontal, duraR2 Rec at 4mosA, 2.5 yrs1981Harwood ³⁰ 22, MCerebellar, duraRRec at 4mosD, 4mos1984Rodda ³⁵ 12, FFrontal, duraGTRRec at 4mosD, 4mos1984Rodda ³⁵ 12, FFrontal, duraR, XRTDid well postopNo follow-up1984Schut ⁴⁰ 11mos, Frontal, duraR, XRTDid well po	1962	Dahlin ¹¹	44, F	Parietal, dura	GTR	9 Rec (2yr-	D, 9yrs
1963Flyger14 Parenchyma11, M ParenchymaFrontal, ParenchymaGTRA, 5mos1966Raskin3448, FFrontal, ParenchymaRD, 3 days1970Wu ⁴³ 18, FFrontoparictal, duraSTR4 RecD, 16mos1971Waga ⁴² 51, FParietal, duraSTR, XRTRec at 2 mos, Mets at 10mosD, 11mos1973Guccion ¹⁷ 19, MParietal, duraR, XRTRec at 1 yrA, 1yrs1978Cianfriglia920, FTemporal, duraGTRNo RecA, 27mos1978Scheithauer ³⁹ 7, MTemporal, duraRRec at 3 yrD, 7 yrs1978Zucker ⁴⁴ 19, MOccipital, duraRNo RecD, 2 yrs1979Rollo ³⁶ 11, MOccipital, duraGTRNo follow-upD, 3days1979Rollo ³⁶ 26, FFrontoparietal, duraGTRNo follow-upD, 3days1980Heros ²¹ 26, FFrontoparietal, duraRC2 RecA, 3 yrs1981Harwood ³⁰ 22, MCerebellar, duraRNoneA, 2.5 yrs1984Rodda ³⁵ 12, FFrontal, duraGTRRec at 4 mosD, 4mos1984Rodda ³⁵ 12, FFrontal, duraGTRNets at 4 yrsD, 7 yrs1984Rodda ³⁵ 12, FFrontal, duraGTRNets at 4 yrsD, 7 yrs1984Rodda ³⁵ 12, FFrontal, duraGTRDid well postop <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>9yrs)</td><td></td></t<>						9yrs)	
1966Raskin ³⁴ 48, FFrontal, Frontal, ParenchymaRD, 3 days1970Wu ⁴³ 18, FFrontoparietal, duraSTR4 RecD, 16mos1971Waga ⁴² 51, FParietal, duraSTR, XRTRec at 2 mos, Mets at 10mosD, 11mos1973Guccion ¹⁷ 19, MParietal, duraR, XRTRec at 1 yrA, 1yrs1978Cianfriglia ⁹ 20, FTemporal, duraGTRNo RecA, 27mos1978Scheithauer ³⁹ 7, MTemporal, duraRRec at 3 yrD, 7 yrs1978Scheithauer ³⁹ 7, MTemporal, duraRNo RecD, 2 yrs1978Zucker ⁴⁴ 19, MOccipital, duraRNo RecD, 5 days1979Rollo ³⁶ 11, MOccipital, duraGTRNo follow-upD, 3 days1980Heros ²¹ 26, FFrontoparietal, duraGTRNo follow-upA, 3 yrs1981Harwood ²⁰ 22, MCerebellar, duraRNoneA1982Kubota ²⁴ 21, FFrontal, duraRRec at 4mosD, 4mos1984Rodda ³⁵ 12, FFrontal, duraRMets at 4 yrsD, 7 yrs1984Schut ⁴⁰ 11, FFrontal, duraRMets at 4 yrsD, 7 yrs1984Schut ⁴⁰ 112, FFrontal, duraRMets at 4 yrsD, 7.5mos1985Kubota ²⁴ 12, FFrontal, duraR, XRTDid well postopNo <td>1963</td> <td>Flyger¹⁴</td> <td>11, M</td> <td>Frontal,</td> <td>GTR</td> <td></td> <td>A, 5mos</td>	1963	Flyger ¹⁴	11, M	Frontal,	GTR		A, 5mos
1966Raskin**48, FFrontal, ParenchymaRBD, 3 days Parenchyma1970Wu ⁴³ 18, FFrontoparictal, duraSTR4 RecD, 16mos1972Waga ⁴² 51, FParietal, duraSTR, XRTRec at 2 mos, 	10.55		10 -	Parenchyma			
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	1966	Raskin ³⁴	48, F	Frontal, Parenchyma	R		D, 3 days
interpretationinterpretationinterpretationinterpretationinterpretation1972Waga ⁴² 51, FParietal, duraSTR, XRTRec at 2 mos, Mets at 10mosD, 11mos1973Guccion ¹⁷ 19, MParietal, duraR, XRTRec at 1 yrA, 1yrs1978Cianfriglia ⁹ 20, FTemporal, duraGTRNo RecA, 27mos1978Scheithauer ³⁹ 7, MTemporal, duraRRec at 3 yrD, 7 yrs1978Scheithauer ³⁹ 7, MTemporal, duraRNo RecD, 2 yrs1978Scheithauer ³⁹ 7, MTemporal, duraRNo RecD, 2 yrs1978Zucker ⁴⁴ 19, MOccipital, duraGTRNo follow-upD, 5days1979Rollo ³⁶ 11, MOccipital, duraGTRNo follow-upD, 3days1980Heros ²¹ 26, FFrontoparietal, duraGTRNo follow-upD, 3days1981Harwood ²⁰ 22, MCerebellar, duraRNoneA, 2.5 yrs1982Kubota ²⁴ 21, FFrontal, duraRTNoneA1984Rodda ³⁵ 12, FFrontal, duraGTRRec at 4 mosD, 4mos1984Rodda ³⁵ 12, FFrontal, duraGTRNies at 4 yrsD, 7 yrs1984Rodda ³⁵ 12, FFrontal, duraGTRNies at 4 yrsD, 7 yrs1984Rodda ³⁵ 12, FFrontal, duraGTRNies at 4 yrsD, 7.5mos<	1970	Wu ⁴³	18, F	Frontoparietal,	STR	4 Rec	D, 16mos
1972Waga4251, FParietal, duraSTR, XRTRec at 2 mos, Mets at 10mosD, 11mos1973Guccion1719, MParietal, duraR, XRTRec at 1 yrA, 1yrs1978Cianfriglia920, FTemporal, duraGTRNo RecA, 27mos1978Scheithauer397, MTemporal, duraRRec at 3 yrD, 7 yrs1978Scheithauer397, MTemporal, duraRNo RecD, 2 yrs1978Zucker4419, MOccipital, duraRNo follow-upD, 5days1978Zucker4419, MOccipital, duraGTRNo follow-upD, 3days1979Rollo3611, MOccipital, duraGTRNo follow-upD, 3days1980Heros2126, FFrontoparietal, duraGTRMoneA, 2.5 yrs1981Harwood2022, MCerebellar, Parietal, duraRRec at 4mosD, 4mos1982Kubota2421, FFrontal, duraRMets at 4 yrsD, 7 yrs1984Rodda3512, FFrontal, duraGTRNotes at 4 yrsD, 7 yrs1984Rodda3512, FFrontal, duraR, XRTDid well postopNo follow-up1989Schut ⁴⁴⁰ 11mos,MFrontal, duraR, XRTDid well postopNo follow-up				dura			
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	1972	Waga ⁴²	51, F	Parietal, dura	STR, XRT	Rec at 2 mos, Mets at 10mos	D , 11mos
1978Cianfriglia ⁹ 20, FTemporal, duraGTRNo RecA, 27mos1978Scheithauer ³⁹ 7, MTemporal, duraRRec at 3 yrD, 7 yrs17, FFrontal, duraRNo RecD, 2 yrs40, MParietal, duraRNo RecD, 2 yrs1978Zucker ⁴⁴ 19, MOccipital, duraGTRNo follow-up1979Rollo ³⁶ 11, MOccipital, duraSTRRec at 6mos, Mets at 8yrsA, 8yrs1980Heros ²¹ 26, FFrontoparietal, duraGTRD, 3days1981Heros ²¹ 26, FCerebellar, duraR2 RecA, 3 yrs20, FCerebellar, duraRNoneA, 2.5 yrs1981Harwood ²⁰ 22, MCerebellar, ParenchymaRRec at 4mosD, 4mos1982Kubota ²⁴ 21, FFrontal, duraRMets at 4 yrsD, 7 yrs1987Nokes ³² 61, FParietal, duraR, XRTDid well postopNo 	1973	Guccion ¹⁷	19, M	Parietal, dura	R, XRT	Rec at 1 yr	A, 1yrs
1978Scheithauer 39 $-7, M$ 7, MTemporal, duraRRec at 3 yrD, 7 yrs17, FFrontal, duraRNo RecD, 2 yrs1978Zucker 4419, MOccipital, duraGTRNo follow-up1979Rollo 3611, MOccipital, duraSTRRec at 6mos, Mets at 8yrsA, 8yrs1980Heros 2126, FFrontoparietal, duraGTRD, 3days1980Heros 2126, FFrontal, duraR2 RecA, 3 yrs26, FCerebellar, duraRNoneA, 2.5 yrs23, FParietal, duraR, XRTNoneA1981Harwood 2022, MCerebellar, ParenchymaRRec at 4mosD, 4mos1982Kubota 2421, FFrontal, duraGTRRec at 4 yrsD, 7 yrs1984Rodda 3512, FFrontal, duraGTRRec at 2.5 mosD, 7.5mos1987Nokes 3261, FParietal, duraR, XRTDid well postopNo follow-up1989Schut 4011mos,MFrontal, duraR, XRTRecD	1978	Cianfriglia ⁹	20, F	Temporal, dura	GTR	No Rec	A, 27mos
17, FFrontal, duraRNo RecD, 2 yrs40, MParietal, duraRD, 5days1978Zucker ⁴⁴ 19, MOccipital, duraGTRNo follow-up1979Rollo ³⁶ 11, MOccipital, duraSTRRec at 6mos, Mets at 8yrsA, 8yrs1980Heros ²¹ 26, FFrontoparietal, duraGTRD, 3days1980Heros ²¹ 26, FCerebellar, duraR2 RecA, 3 yrs26, FCerebellar, duraRNoneA, 2.5 yrs23, FParietal, duraR, XRTNoneA1981Harwood ²⁰ 22, MCerebellar, ParenchymaRRec at 4mosD, 4mos1982Kubota ²⁴ 21, FFrontal, duraGTRRec at 2.5 mosD, 7.5mos1984Rodda ³⁵ 12, FFrontal, duraGTRRec at 2.5 mosD, 7.5mos1987Nokes ³² 61, FParietal, duraR, XRTDid well postopNo follow-up1989Schut ⁴⁰ 11mos,MFrontal, duraR, XRTRecD	1978	Scheithauer ³⁹	7, M	Temporal, dura	R	Rec at 3 yr	D, 7 yrs
40, MParietal, duraRD, 5days1978Zucker ⁴⁴ 19, MOccipital, duraGTRNo follow-up1979Rollo ³⁶ 11, MOccipital, duraSTRRec at 6mos, Mets at 8yrsA, 8yrs1980Heros ²¹ 26, FFrontoparietal, duraGTRD, 3days1980Heros ²¹ 26, FFrontoparietal, duraGTRD, 3days33, MFrontal, duraR2 RecA, 3 yrs26, FCerebellar, duraRNoneA, 2.5 yrs23, FParietal, duraR, XRTNoneA1981Harwood ²⁰ 22, MCerebellar, duraR, 2 Rec at 4mosD, 4mos1982Kubota ²⁴ 21, FFrontal, duraRMets at 4 yrsD, 7 yrs1984Rodda ³⁵ 12, FFrontal, duraGTRRec at 2.5 mosD, 7.5mos1987Nokes ³² 61, FParietal, duraR, XRTDid well postopNo follow-up1988Schut ⁴⁰ 11mos,MFrontal, duraR, XRTRecD			17, F	Frontal, dura	R	No Rec	D, 2 yrs
1978Zucker4419, MOccipital, duraGTRNo follow-up1979Rollo3611, MOccipital, duraSTRRec at 6mos, Mets at 8yrsA, 8yrs1980Heros21 26, F26, FFrontoparietal, duraGTRD, 3days33, MFrontal, duraR2 RecA, 3 yrs26, FCerebellar, duraRNoneA, 2.5 yrs23, FParietal, duraR, XRTNoneA1981Harwood2022, MCerebellar, parenchymaRec at 4mosD, 4mos1982Kubota2421, FFrontal, duraRMets at 4 yrsD, 7 yrs1984Rodda3512, FFrontal, duraGTRRec at 2.5 mosD, 7.5mos1987Nokes3261, FParietal, duraR, XRTDid well postopNo follow-up1989Schut4011mos,MFrontal, duraR, XRTRecD12 EFrontal, duraR, XRTRecD12 E			40, M	Parietal, dura	R		D, 5days
1979Rollo3611, MOccipital, duraSTRRec at 6mos, Mets at 8yrsA, 8yrs1980Heros2126, FFrontoparietal, duraGTRD, 3days33, MFrontal, duraR2 RecA, 3 yrs26, FCerebellar, duraRNoneA, 2.5 yrs23, FParietal, duraR, XRTNoneA1981Harwood2022, MCerebellar, duraRRec at 4mosD, 4mos1982Kubota2421, FFrontal, duraRMets at 4 yrsD, 7 yrs1984Rodda3512, FFrontal, duraGTRRec at 2.5 mosD, 7.5mos1987Nokes3261, FParietal, duraR, XRTDid well postopNo follow-up1989Schut4011mos,MFrontal, duraR, XRTRecD12FFrontal, duraR, XRTRecD	1978	Zucker ⁴⁴	19, M	Occipital, dura	GTR	No follow-up	
1980Heros2126, FFrontoparietal, duraGTRD, 3days33, MFrontal, duraR2 RecA, 3 yrs26, FCerebellar, duraRNoneA, 2.5 yrs23, FParietal, duraR, XRTNoneA1981Harwood2022, MCerebellar, duraRRec at 4mosD, 4mos1982Kubota2421, FFrontal, duraRMets at 4 yrsD, 7 yrs1984Rodda3512, FFrontal, duraGTRRec at 2.5 mosD, 7.5mos1987Nokes3261, FParietal, duraR, XRTDid well postopNo follow-up1989Schut4011mos,MFrontal, duraR, XRTRecD12 FFrontal, duraR, XRTRecDD	1979	Rollo ³⁶	11, M	Occipital, dura	STR	Rec at 6mos, Mets at 8yrs	A, 8yrs
33, MFrontal, duraR2 RecA, 3 yrs26, FCerebellar, duraRNoneA, 2.5 yrs23, FParietal, duraR, XRTNoneA1981Harwood ²⁰ 22, MCerebellar, parenchymaRRec at 4mosD, 4mos1982Kubota ²⁴ 21, FFrontal, duraRMets at 4 yrsD, 7 yrs1984Rodda ³⁵ 12, FFrontal, duraGTRRec at 2.5 mosD, 7.5mos1987Nokes ³² 61, FParietal, duraR, XRTDid well postopNo follow-up1989Schut ⁴⁰ 11mos, MFrontal, duraR, XRTRecD12, FFrontal, duraR, XRTRecD	1980	Heros ²¹	26, F	Frontoparietal, dura	GTR		D, 3days
26, FCerebellar, duraRNoneA, 2.5 yrs23, FParietal, duraR, XRTNoneA1981Harwood ²⁰ 22, MCerebellar, ParenchymaRRec at 4mosD, 4mos1982Kubota ²⁴ 21, FFrontal, duraRMets at 4 yrsD, 7 yrs1984Rodda ³⁵ 12, FFrontal, duraGTRRec at 2.5 mosD, 7.5mos1987Nokes ³² 61, FParietal, duraR, XRTDid well postopNo follow-up1989Schut ⁴⁰ 11mos,MFrontal, duraR, XRTRecD			33, M	Frontal, dura	R	2 Rec	A, 3 yrs
23, FParietal, duraR, XRTNoneA1981Harwood2022, MCerebellar, ParenchymaRRec at 4mosD, 4mos1982Kubota2421, FFrontal, duraRMets at 4 yrsD, 7 yrs1984Rodda3512, FFrontal, duraGTRRec at 2.5 mosD, 7.5mos1987Nokes3261, FParietal, duraR, XRTDid well postopNo follow-up1989Schut4011mos,MFrontal, duraR, XRTRecD12, FFrontal, duraR, XRTRecD			26, F	Cerebellar, dura	R	None	A, 2.5 yrs
1981Harwood2022, MCerebellar, ParenchymaRRec at 4mosD, 4mos1982Kubota2421, FFrontal, duraRMets at 4 yrsD, 7 yrs1984Rodda3512, FFrontal, duraGTRRec at 2.5 mosD, 7.5mos1987Nokes3261, FParietal, duraR, XRTDid well postopNo follow-up1989Schut4011mos,MFrontal, duraR, XRTRecD12FFrontal, duraR, XRTPareD			23, F	Parietal, dura	R, XRT	None	Α
Image: ParenchymaParenchyma1982Kubota ²⁴ 21, FFrontal, duraRMets at 4 yrsD, 7 yrs1984Rodda ³⁵ 12, FFrontal, duraGTRRec at 2.5 mosD,1987Nokes ³² 61, FParietal, duraR, XRTDid well postopNo follow-up1989Schut ⁴⁰ 11mos,MFrontal, duraR, XRTRecD12 FFrontal duraP XPT CPacD	1981	Harwood ²⁰	22, M	Cerebellar,	R	Rec at 4mos	D, 4mos
1982 Kubota ²⁴ 21, F Frontal, dura R Mets at 4 yrs D, 7 yrs 1984 Rodda ³⁵ 12, F Frontal, dura GTR Rec at 2.5 mos D, 7.5mos 1987 Nokes ³² 61, F Parietal, dura R, XRT Did well postop No 1989 Schut ⁴⁰ 11mos,M Frontal, dura R, XRT Rec D 12. F Frontal, dura R, XRT Rec Do D		24		Parenchyma			
1984Rodda3512, FFrontal, duraGTRRec at 2.5 mosD,1987Nokes3261, FParietal, duraR, XRTDid well postopNo follow-up1989Schut4011mos,MFrontal, duraR, XRTRecD12FFrontal, duraR, XRTPaceD	1982	Kubota ²⁴	21, F	Frontal, dura	R	Mets at 4 yrs	D, 7 yrs
1987Nokes3261, FParietal, duraR, XRTDid well postopNo follow-up1989Schut4011mos,MFrontal, duraR, XRTRecD12 EFrontal, duraR, XRT CPaceD	1984	Rodda ³⁵	12, F	Frontal, dura	GTR	Rec at 2.5 mos	D, 7.5mos
1989Schut ⁴⁰ 11mos,MFrontal, duraR, XRTRecD12EFrontal, duraP, VPT CPageD	1987	Nokes ³²	61, F	Parietal, dura	R, XRT	Did well postop	No follow-up
12 F Frontal dura DVDT C Dag D	1989	Schut ⁴⁰	11mos,M	Frontal, dura	R, XRT	Rec	D
12, r Frontai, uura R,ART,C RCC D			12, F	Frontal, dura	R,XRT,C	Rec	D

Cont.

A, Alive ; C, Chemotherapy ; D, Died; F, Female; GTR, Gross total resection; M, Male; Mets, Metastasis; MI, Myocardial infarction; R, Resection; Rec, Recurrence; STR, Subtotal resection; Wks, Weeks; XRT, Radiation therapy

						Survival
Year	Authors	Age/Sex	Location/origin	Initial	Postop course	after 1 st
		U	C C	Treatment	-	surgery
1992	Salcman ³⁷	28, F	Frontal,	GTR	Rec at 10mos	A, 22mos
		,	Parenchyma			,
1992	Chhem ⁷	11, F	Parietal,	R, XRT	Rec at 1.5 yrs	D, 1.5yrs
		,	Parenchyma	,	v	, ,
1993	Cho ⁸	13, F	Frontoparietal,	GTR	Rec at 21mos	A, 33mos
			dura		(R+XRT)	
1996	Malik ²⁹	8. M	Cerebellar.	GTR.XRT.C	Spinal Mets.	Unknown
1770		0,112	Parenchyma	0111,111,0	8mos	0
	~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~			~~~~		
2000	Crosswell ¹⁰	6mos,	Temporal, dura	GTR	Rec at 3 weeks	D, 2mos
	2	Μ			(chemotherapy)	
2000	Bingaman'	24, F	Frontal, dura	GTR, XRT	No Rec	A, 1.5 yrs
2001	Marshman ³⁰	17, F	Temporoparietal,	GTR	2 Rec	D, within
			Parenchyma			mos
2003	La Spina ²⁶	14, F	Tentorial	STR ,R, C	No Rec	A, 2 yrs
2004	Chen [°]	13, F	Frontal, falx	GTR	No Rec	A,30mos
2005	Salvati ³⁸	30, F	Frontoparietal,	GTR	Rec 37mos	A, 1mos
			Falx		(GTR,XRT)	
		42 F	Frontal, dura	GTR	No Rec	D, (MI,3
						wks)
2007	De Cecio ¹²	2mos,	Parietal, Dura	GTR	Rec at 1mos	D,few
		M				wks
2008	Sheth	42, M	Insular and basal	GTR, XRT	Rec at 10.5mos,	D, 15mos
	(current		ganglia,		Spinal Mets at	
	report)		Parenchyma		12mos	

Table 1 cont.

A, Alive ; C, Chemotherapy ; D, Died; F, Female; GTR, Gross total resection; M, Male;
Mets, Metastasis; MI, Myocardial infarction; R, Resection; Rec, Recurrence;
STR, Subtotal resection; Wks, Weeks; XRT, Radiation therapy

recurrence was 10 months after initial surgery and, interestingly, at a site distinct from the original location, yet within the general vicinity. It is compelling to assume that the transylvian dissection during the first surgery allowed subsequent localized seeding of tumor cells from their original intraparenchymal insular location to their new subarachnoid location along the superficial banks of the sylvian fissure. We speculate that specific, poorly definable biological characteristics predisposed this specific tumor to have a tendency to seed via the CSF pathway to both intracranial and intraspinal locations.

There are case reports of extracranial spread of the tumor. Lung is the most common site of spread [24, 39]. Dissemination of the tumor via CSF is very rare. Our case illustrates this unusual mode of spread. We have encountered only one other case of spinal dissemination, and in that case the primary was in the cerebellum [29]. Both patients had total resection followed by radiation therapy and yet presented with spinal metastasis within a year.

The role of postoperative radiation treatment is inconclusive. Radiation therapy has yielded varied results. In our case there was recurrent tumor after surgery and a complete cycle of radiation therapy. On the other hand, some patients have had no recurrences 1.5 years after combined therapy [3, 7-8, 40]. Salcman et al described one year tumor-free survival in a patient with implantation of I-125 radioactive seeds during re-operation for myxoid chondrosarcoma

Sheth RN et al. Primary Mesenchymal Chondrosarcoma

of the falx [37]. Interstitial brachytherapy has been used for several recurrent skull-based tumors with variable results; the experience with mesenchymal chondrosarcoma is non-existent [18, 25]. The local delivery of high dose radiation for a protracted time period may spare the normal brain yet treats the surrounding tumor cells during the most vulnerable part of their cell cycle.

The experience with chemotherapy is further limited. La Spina demonstrated a marked reduction in tumorenhancement after using a "sarcoma-like" course of chemotherapy (carboplatin, etoposide, vincristine, ifosfamide, and adriamycin) [26]. Crosswell et al treated recurrent tumors with vincristine, cisplatin, etoposide and cyclophosphamide but with eventual death in a month [10]. Harsh had no regrowth in a case of recurrent intraspinal tumor after total resection, radiation therapy and perioperative adriamycin [19]. Another pediatric patient died shortly after resection, radiation therapy and chemotherapy (unknown agents) [40]. In the present case, the patient received chemotherapy with methotrexate, ifosfamide and MESNA, but the tumor continued to grow. We treated the intraspinal spread of the tumor with intrathecal methotrexate and spinal irradiation and there was interestingly disappearance of malignant cells in a follow-up lumbar puncture. In the only other case of spinal dissemination, the patient received intrathecal chemotherapy (agent not specified), and the followup is unknown [29]. Given the propensity of this tumor for recurrence and metastasis, postoperative chemotherapy should be instituted, regardless of the extent of resection, with agents effective against sarcoma-like tumors. We feel that intrathecal treatment should be reserved for CSF seeding.

Conclusion

Mesenchymal chondrosarcoma is a rare tumor of bones, with brain being the most common extraskeletal organ affected. This tumor uncommonly arises in the parenchyma. Biphasic appearance is the histological hallmark of the tumor. Whenever possible, radical resection of the tumor followed by radiation therapy should be the initial goal of treatment because of its propensity for local recurrence. Because of the tendency of the tumor to metastasize, chemotherapy should be given postoperatively, and intrathecal therapy probably reserved for the highly unusual patients with CSF spread. Unfortunately, in spite of all modern management efforts, the prognosis remains extremely disappointing.

Acknowledgement

We would like to thank Dr. Philip Robinson for his help in the review and interpretation of histological slides.

References

1. Bagchi M, Husain N, Goel MM, Agrawal PK, Bhatt S.Extraskeletal mesenchymal chondrosarcoma of the orbit. Cancer. 1993 Oct 1;72(7):2224-6.

2. Berberoglu S, Aribal ME, Arikan U, Ince A. Paraspinal mass in a child. Postgrad Med J. 72 (850): 507-509, 1996.

3. Bingaman KD, Alleyne CH Jr, Olson JJ. Intracranial extraskeletal mesenchymal chondrosarcoma: case report. Neurosurgery. 2000 Jan;46(1):207-11; discussion 211-2.

4. Chand S, Sangwan SS. Mesenchymal chondrosarcoma of the paraspinal region: a case report. Indian J Cancer. 1992 Mar;29(1):37-9.

5. Chen JY, Hsu SS, Ho JT. Extraskeletal intracranial mesenchymal chondrosarcoma: case report and literature review. Kaohsiung J Med Sci. 2004 May;20(5):240-6. Review.

6. Chetty R. Extraskeletal mesenchymal chondrosarcoma of the mediastinum. Histopathology. 1990 Sep;17(3):261-3.

7. Chhem RK, Bui BT, Calderon-Villar H, Fontaine S. Case report: primary mesenchymal chondrosarcoma of the brain. Clin Radiol. 1992 Jun;45(6):422-3.

8. Cho BK, Chi JG, Wang KC, Chang KH, Choi KS. Intracranial mesenchymal chondrosarcoma: a case report and literature review.Childs Nerv Syst. 1993 Aug;9(5):295-9.

9. Cianfriglia F, Pompili A, Occhipinti E. Intracranial malignant cartilaginous tumours. Report of two cases and review of literature. Acta Neurochir (Wien). 1978;45(1-2):163-75.

10. Crosswell H, Buchino JJ, Sweetman R, Reisner A. Intracranial mesenchymal chondrosarcoma in an infant. Med Pediatr Oncol. 2000 May;34(5):370-4.

11. Dahlin DC, Henderson ED. Mesenchymal chondrosarcoma. Further observations on a new entity. Cancer. 1962 Mar-Apr;15:410-7.

12. De Cecio R, Migliaccio I, Falleti J, Del Basso De Caro M, Pettinato G. Congential Intracranial Mesenchymal Chondrosarcoma: Case report and review of the literature in pediatric patients. Pediatr Dev Pathol. 2007 Aug 22;:1 [Epub ahead of print]

13. Dhaliwal US, Singh A, Dhaliwal SS, Nagpal BL. Retroperitoneal mesenchymal chondrosarcoma. J Indian Med Assoc. 1985 Feb;83(2):62-4.

14. Flyger G, Freidenfeldt H, Orell SR. Intracerebral, Possibly Malignant Osteochondrofirboma in a Child. Acta Pathol Microbiol Scand. 1963;58:299-305.

15. Fu YS, Kay S.A comparative ultrastructural study of mesenchymal chondrosarcoma and myxoid chondrosarcoma. Cancer. 1974 Jun;33(6):1531-42.

16.Gonzalez-Lois C, Cuevas C, Abdullah O, Ricoy JR. Intracranial extraskeletal myxoid chondrosarcoma: case report and review of the literature. Acta Neurochir (Wien). 2002 Jul;144 (7):735-40.

17. Guccion JG, Font RL, Enzinger FM, Zimmerman LE. Extraskeletal mesenchymal chondrosarcoma. Arch Pathol. 1973 May;95(5):336-40

18. Gutin PH, Leibel SA, Hosobuchi Y, Crumley RL, Edwards MS, Wilson CB, Lamb S, Weaver KA. Brachytherapy of recurrent tumors of the skull base and spine with iodine-125 sources. Neurosurgery 1987 Jun; 20(6): 938-45

19. Harsh GR 4th, Wilson CB. Central nervous system mesenchymal chondrosarcoma. Case report. J Neurosurg 1984 Aug;61(2):375-81.

20. Harwood AR, Krajbich JI, Fornasier VL. Mesenchymal chondrosarcoma: a report of 17 cases. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 1981 Jul-Aug;(158):144-8.

21. Heros RC, Martinez AJ, Ahn HS. Intracranial mesenchymal chondrosarcoma. Surg Neurol. 1980 Oct;14(4):311-7.

22. Horten BC, Urich H, Rubinstein LJ, Montague SR. The angioblastic meningioma: a reappraisal of the nosological problem. Light-, electron-microscopic, tissue, and organ culture observations. J Neurol Sci.

1977 Apr;31(3):387-410.

23. Koeller KK. Mesenchymal chondrosarcoma and simulating lesions of the orbit. Radiol Clin North Am. 1999 Jan;37(1):203-17, xii.

24. Kubota T, Hayashi M, Yamamoto S. Primary intracranial mesenchymal chondrosarcoma: case report with review of the literature.Neurosurgery. 1982 Jan;10(1):105-10.

25. Kumar PP,Good RR, Skultety FM, leibrock LG. Local control of recurrent clival and sacral chordoma after interstitial irradiation with iodine-125: new technique for treatment of recurrent or unresectable chordomas. Neurosurgery. 1988 mar; 22(3):479-83

26. La Spina M, Dollo C, Giangaspero F, Bertolini P, Russo G. Intracranial mesenchymal chondrosarcoma with osteoid formation: report of a pediatric case. Childs Nerv Syst. 2003 Sep;19(9):680-2.

27. Lichtenstein L, Bernstein D. Unusual benign and malignant chondroid tumors of bone. Cancer 1959, 12:1142-1157.

28. Louvet C, de Gramont A, Krulik M, Jagueux M, Hubert D, Brissaud P, et al. J.Extraskeletal mesenchymal chondrosarcoma: case report and review of the literature. J Clin Oncol. 1985 Jun;3(6):858-63.

29. Malik SN, Farmer PM, Hajdu SI, Rosenthal A. Mesenchymal chondrosarcoma of the cerebellum. Ann Clin Lab Sci 1996 Nov-Dec;26(6):496-500.

30. Marshman LA, Gunasekera L, Rose PE, Olney JS. Primary intracerebral mesenchymal chondrosarcoma with rhabdomyosarcomatous differentiation: case report and literature review. Br J Neurosurg. 2001 Oct;15(5):419-24. Review.

31. Nakashima Y, Unni KK, Shives TC, Swee RG, Dahlin DC. Mesenchymal chondrosarcoma of bone and soft tissue. A review of 111 cases. Cancer. 1986 Jun 15;57(12):2444-53.

32. Nokes SR, Dauito R, Murtagh FR, Love LC, Arrington JA. Intracranial mesenchymal chondrosarcoma. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol. 1987 Nov-Dec;8(6):1137-8.

33. Ranjan R, Chacko G, Joseph T, Chandi SM. Intraspinal mesenchymal chondrosarcoma. J

Sheth RN et al. Primary Mesenchymal Chondrosarcoma

34. Raskin NH, Fishman RA.. Effects of thyroid on permeability, composition, and electrolyte metabolism of brain and other tissues. Arch Neurol. 1966 Jan;14(1):21-30.

35. Rodda RA, Franklin CI. Intracranial meningeal chondrosarcoma--probable mesenchymal type. Aust N Z J Surg. 1984 Aug;54(4):387-90.

36. Rollo JL, Green WR, Kahn LB. Primary meningeal mesenchymal chondrosarcoma. Arch Pathol Lab Med. 1979 May;103(5):239-43.

37. Salcman M, Scholtz H, Kristt D, Numaguchi Y. Extraskeletal myxoid chondrosarcoma of the falx. Neurosurgery. 1992 Aug;31(2):344-8

38. Salvati M, Caroli E, Frati A, Piccirilli M, Agrillo A, Brogna C, Occhiogrosso G, Giangaspero F. Central nervous system mesenchymal chondrosarcoma. J Exp Clin Cancer Res. 2005 Jun;24(2):317-24.

39. Scheithauer BW, Rubinstein LJ. Meningeal mesenchymal chondrosarcoma: report of 8 cases with review of the literature. Cancer. 1978 Dec;42(6):2744-52

40. Schut L, Canady AI, Sutton LN, Bruce DA. Meningeal tumors in children. Pediatr Neurosurg 1994;20: 207-213

41. Sevel D. Mesenchymal chondrosarcoma of the orbit. Br J Ophthalmol. 1974 Oct;58(10):882-7.

42. Waga S, Matsushima M, Ando K, Morii S. Intracranial chondrosarcoma with extracranial metastases. Case report. J Neurosurg. 1972 Jun;36(6):790-4

43. Wu WQ, Lapi A. Primary non-skeletal intracranial cartilaginous neoplasms: report of a chondroma and a mesenchymal chondrosarcoma. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 1970 Aug;33(4):469-75.

44. Zucker DK, Horoupian DS. Dural mesenchymal chondrosarcoma. Case report. J Neurosurg. 1978 May;48(5):829-33.

Correspondence: Jacques J. Morcos, MD, FRCS University of Miami-Department of Neurosurgery

Lois Pope Life Center 1095 NW 14th Terrace- D4-6 Miami, FL 33136 Tel: 305-243-4675 Fax: 305-243-3337 Email: jmorcos@med.miami.edu

COMMENTS

The authors describe a very unusual case of a primary intraparenchymal intracranial mesenchymal chondrosarcoma that has an aggressive course and eventually resulted in CSF dissemination. Despite all best attempts to treat this lesion, the patient died as a result of disseminated disease. I actually think this is a very interesting case report in that it describes a very unusual entity and points out the fact that these highly aggressive mesenchymal tumors can, indeed, disseminate and result in a drop metastasis.

Mitch Berger MD

San Franciso, USA

The authors report the rare occurrence of an intracerebral mesenchymal chondrosarcoma in a 42 year-old male patient. After initial successful surgery and radiotherapy, the tumor recurred intracranially as well as in the spinal canal. The authors present the epidemiology and clinical characteristics of intracranial mesenchymal chondrosarcoma and discuss the available treatment options for this aggressive and difficult tumor.

Alain Barth MD

Jura and Basel, Switzerland

The authors add an interesting case of a primary intracranial mesenchymal chondrosarcoma and secondary drop metastases to the spinal canal to our neurosurgical/neurooncological community. Both manifestation of CNS mesenschymal chondrosarcoma as well as primary and/or secondary drop metastases are rare. It is worthful to give summarized knowledge concerning diagnosis and treatment modalities (chemo – and radiotherapy) combined with own experience as best medical practise. This will help us to optimize treatment and improve outcome of such patients despite of lack of larger series.

Jürgen Meixensberger MD

Leipzig, Germany